Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05638 220218Z

61

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /031 W

----- 057116

R 212150Z NOV 73 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2818 SECDEF WASHDC

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 5638

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS 12/31/79 TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: NATO CRISIS CONSULTATIONS SUGGESTED PROCEDURAL IMPROVEMENT

REF. A. JCS MESSAGE 2606, DTG 250441Z OCT 73

- B. JCS MESSAGE 2717, DTG 250710Z OCT 73
- C. STATE 210443 NODIS
- D. USDELMC MESSAGE 607/73, DTG 290805Z OCT 73
- E. USNATO 5133 NODIS
- F. STATE 210450 NODIC

SUMMARY. MISSION'S REVIEW OF ACTIONS RELATED TO THE PRECAUTIONARY ALERT OF U.S. FORCES ON OCT 25 INDICATES THAT IN A FAST BREAKING SIHSOWMN, IMMEDIATE TRANSMITTAL OF NOTIFICATION MESSAGES OVER THE NATO-WIDE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM COULD PAVE THE WAY FOR SUBSEQUENT CONSULTATIONS IN THE COUNCIL. END SUMMARY.

1. FOLLOWING WAS SEQUENCE OF EVENTS ON OCT 25 WITH RESPECT TO CONSULTATIONS AT NATO HQ. ALL TIMES ARE GREENWICH MEAN TIME UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN:

A. THE JCS FLASH ALERTING MESSAGE TO U.S. UNIFIED AND SPECIFIED COMMANDS (REF A) WAS DISPATCHED AT 4:41A.M. AND RECEIVED IN THE USNATO COMMUNICATIONS CENTER AT 4:50 A.M.

B. A SECOND JCS FLASH MESSAGE WAS DISPATCHED AT 7:10 A.M. ADVISING NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES THAT THE U.S. HAD DECLARED DEFCON 3 FOR U.S. FORCES WORLDWIDE, AND REQUESTING THE USDELMC TO NOTIFY THE MILITARY COMMITTEE (REF B). MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05638 220218Z

IN USNATO AT 7:25 A.M.

C. SECRETARY KISSINGER ADVISED AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD BY FLASH MESSAGE (REF C, DISPATCHED 7:37 A.M., RECEIVED 8:04 A.M.)

THAT U.SM HAD TAKEN CERTAIN PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES, AND THAT FULLER GUIDANCE FOR USE WITH COUNCIL WOULD BE RECEIVED BY ABOUT 6:30 A.M. WASHINGTON TIME.

D. THE U.S. MILITARY REPRESENTATIVE, GENERAL MILTON, ANNOUNCED TO THE MILITARY COMMITTEE DURING MEETING THAT CONVENED AT 9:00 A.M. THAT U.S. FORCES WORLDWIDE WERE IN DEFCON 3 (REF D).

E. AWARE THAT GENERAL MILTON HAD ALREADY INFORMED THE MILITARY COMMITTEE OF THE ALERT, AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD INFORMED PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES, AT CONCLUSION OF 11:00 A.M. RESTRICTED BRIEFING, THAT GENERAL MILTON HAD NOTIFIED THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES THAT THE U.S. HAD TAKENCERTAIN PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES (REF E). SUBSEQUENTLY, AT SAME MEETING, REF F ARRIVED AND AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD IMMEDIATELY DREW UPON IT TO OFFICIALLY INFORM THE NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL OF THE U.S. ALERT AND REASONS THEREFOR.

2. WE CAN IDENTIFY FOUR REASONS WHY THE ABOVE SEQUENCE PROVED UPSETTING TO THE ALLIES:

A. WELL BEFORE THE 11:00 A.M. PERMREPS' BRIEFING,
THEIR CAPITALS HAD ALREADY BEEN SURPRISED TO LEARN OF THE ALERT
THROUGH AN N JAP NEWS BULLETIN AND A BBC BROADCAST.

B. ALLIES ON WHOSE TERRITORY AFFECTED U.S. FORCES WERE STATIONED FELT THAT THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN WARNED--NOT CONSULTED, BUT WARNED--OF THE U.S. ALERT.

C. THE UNITED STATES HAD SOUGTH EARLIER TO HARMONIZE ALLIED DIPLOMATIC REACTIONS TO SOVIET MOVES; IN THIS INSTANCE THE UMS. ACTED WITHOUT INFORMING ALLIES OF THE ACTION.

D. CANADIAN REPRESENTATIVES HERE TOLD US THAT THE ALERT OF THE CONTINENTAL AIR DEFENSE COMMAND MEANT THAT SOME CANADIANS WERE CALLED IN DURING THE MIDDLE OF THE NITHT, BUT OTTAWA DIDN'T KNOW WAHT WAS HAPPENING UNTIL MORNING.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 05638 220218Z

3. IN FUTURE CRISIS SITUATIONS WHEN THE PACE OF EVENTS REQUIRES SPEED, MISSION SUGGESTS THAT NATO ALLIED CAPITALS FIRST BE INFORMED AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE OVER THE NATO-WIDE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM. IT WOULD BE MOST DESIRABLE IF THIS FIRST MESSAGE WENT OUT IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE JCS ALERTING MESSAGE. ACTION ADDRESSEES SHOUDLD INCLUDE THE NATO SITUATION CENTER SPECIFICALLY SLUGGED FOR THE SECRETARY GENERAL AND PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES, AND AS A MINIMUM THOSE NATO CAPITALS ON WHOSE TERRITORY U.S. FORCES ARE STATIONED (PARIS HAS NO NWCS TERMINAL; THEREFORE, IF PARIS IS ALSO TO BE INFORMED THE NATO SITUATION CENTER SHOLD BE REQUESTED TO RE-TRANSMIT). FINALLY, AFTER THIS NOTIFICATION HAS BEEN MADE OVER THE NWCS, WE RECOMMEND THAT THE COUNCIL BE USED SOON THEREAFTER TO EXPLAIN THE U.S. ACTIONS, THE CIRCUMSTANCES

SURROUNDING IT, AND ANTICIPATED NEXT STEPS. RUMSFELD
NOTE BY OC/T: EXDIS ADDED PER S/S-O, MR. KURZE, 11/21/73.
CONFIDENTIAL
<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 02 APR 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 21 NOV 1973 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO05638

Document Number: 1973NATO05638 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: 11652 GDS 12/31/79

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731165/abqcedli.tel Line Count: 115 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NODIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NODIS Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 AUG 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22-Aug-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <03-Oct-2001 by boyleja>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: LOCK1 Status: NATIVE

Subject: NATO CRISIS CONSULTATIONS SUGGESTED PROCEDURAL IMPROVEMENT

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

To: STATE SECDEF

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005